InvestmentInsights.com

Investment Academy | Investment Styles and Factors

Understanding Investment Styles: Value versus Growth

Alan F. Skrainka, CFA
Chief Investment Officer

You can't go to sleep holding cyclical stocks for a decade and expect to be richly rewarded. The rich rewards are in growth stocks and special situations." – Peter Lynch

"If you are in the right companies, the potential rise can be so enormous that everything else is secondary." – Philip Fisher

"When picking a list of growth stocks for long-term investment, broad diversification of the risk is the first and most important principle to follow. No one can look ahead five or ten years and say what is the most promising industry or the best stock to own. We are growth stock investors who pay attention to valuation. We avoid overpaying for growth, preferring to invest in companies we believe are trading at reasonable valuations."– T. Rowe Price

"All intelligent investing is value investing. Acquiring more than you are paying for. You must value the business in order to value the stock." – Charlie Munger

"I learned how to work on what's cheap. I became a total believer. To this day I think that is the only way to invest." – John Templeton

"Value investing continues to be the best (and perhaps only) reliable North Star for those who are able to remain patient, long-term oriented, and risk averse." – Seth Klarman

"Price is what you pay. Value is what you get." – Warren Buffett

"It's far better to buy a wonderful company at a fair price than a fair company at a wonderful price." – Warren Buffett

Introduction

The investment world has long been divided into two philosophical camps: those who seek bargains in the market's overlooked corners and those who chase tomorrow's growth stories. This fundamental tension between value and growth investing has shaped portfolio construction, influenced market cycles, and sparked countless debates among both professional money managers and individual investors. Understanding both approaches—and their intricate dance through market history—represents one of the most critical decisions facing today's investors.

Value Stock Investing Defined

Value investing represents a methodical approach to finding securities trading below their intrinsic worth. As defined by its foundational principles, value investing involves purchasing assets at a discount to their fundamental value, creating what Benjamin Graham famously termed the "margin of safety."[1] The strategy rests on a contrarian belief that markets frequently overreact to both positive and negative news, creating temporary mispricings that astute investors can exploit.

Value investors operate under the assumption that a company's stock price can diverge significantly from its underlying business value. They typically focus on companies with low price-to-earnings ratios, high dividend yields, or trading below book value.[1] The approach demands patience, as value stocks may remain underappreciated for extended periods before the market recognizes their true worth. Warren Buffett, perhaps the most celebrated practitioner of value investing, evolved the strategy by emphasizing quality businesses at reasonable prices rather than simply cheap stocks.[10]

The behavioral foundation of value investing challenges the efficient market hypothesis, suggesting that market participants frequently misprice securities due to emotional reactions, herd behavior, and short-term thinking. This creates opportunities for disciplined investors willing to conduct thorough fundamental analysis and maintain conviction despite temporary market disapproval.

Growth Stock Investing Defined

Growth investing takes a fundamentally different approach, focusing on companies expected to expand earnings at above-average rates compared to their industry or the broader market. Rather than seeking statistical bargains, growth investors pay premium valuations for businesses demonstrating superior expansion potential. These companies typically reinvest earnings back into their operations rather than paying dividends, prioritizing future growth over current income.

Growth investors evaluate companies through metrics like historical and future earnings growth, profit margins, return on equity, and share price momentum. They willingly accept higher valuations, measured by traditional metrics like price-to-earnings ratios, believing that rapid business expansion will justify premium prices. The strategy particularly attracts investors in rapidly evolving industries where technological innovation and market disruption create substantial wealth-building opportunities.

The growth approach recognizes that certain companies possess competitive advantages, market positions, or innovative capabilities that enable them to compound earnings at extraordinary rates. Thomas Rowe Price Jr., often called "the father of growth investing," pioneered this philosophy through his company T. Rowe Price, demonstrating that exceptional businesses could reward investors despite seemingly expensive initial valuations.[11]

Historical data provides compelling evidence for value investing's long-term superiority. Over nearly a century of market data, value stocks have outperformed growth stocks by an average of 4.4% annually in the United States since 1927.[14] This value premium has persisted across different time periods and economic regimes, demonstrating remarkable consistency despite periodic underperformance. The Fama-French research framework quantifies this phenomenon through the HML (High Minus Low) factor, which measures the return difference between high book-to-market (value) and low book-to-market (growth) stocks.[4]

The Case for Value Investing: Fundamental Logic and Behavioral Advantages

Value investing's appeal rests on fundamental economic logic: paying less for identical future cash flows should generate higher returns. This principle forms the bedrock of sound investment practice, supported by both theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence.[14] Value investors benefit from purchasing businesses when market sentiment is pessimistic, often acquiring quality companies at significant discounts to their intrinsic worth.

The strategy also provides psychological advantages during market volatility. Value investors typically experience less emotional stress during market declines because they purchased securities with substantial safety margins. When markets panic and indiscriminately sell quality businesses, value investors often find their greatest opportunities, as demonstrated during the 2008 financial crisis and the COVID-19 market disruption.

Behavioral finance research reveals that value investing profits from common investor biases, including overconfidence, recency bias, and herding behavior.[9] Growth investors often extrapolate recent performance too far into the future, while value investors capitalize on market pessimism that overshoots reasonable valuations. The strategy rewards patience and contrarian thinking—qualities that behavioral research suggests many investors struggle to maintain consistently.

Risk-Adjusted Returns and Downside Protection

Value stocks historically demonstrate superior risk-adjusted returns, as measured by the Sharpe ratio and other volatility-adjusted metrics. The Russell 1000 Value Index has shown lower standard deviation (14.38%) compared to the Russell 1000 Growth Index (16.78%) over long periods, while generating slightly higher average returns.[17] This combination of lower volatility and competitive returns creates attractive risk-adjusted performance profiles.

Value investing also provides natural downside protection through its emphasis on purchasing securities below intrinsic value. When markets decline, value stocks often fall less severely because they already trade at discounted valuations. This defensive characteristic proves particularly valuable during economic recessions or market corrections, when growth stocks may experience dramatic declines from their premium valuations.

The Case for Growth Investing

Growth investors understand that traditional valuation metrics may inadequately capture the value of intangible assets, network effects, and scalable business models that characterize modern leading companies. In knowledge-based economies, companies with superior intellectual property, data advantages, or platform effects can sustain above-average growth rates for extended periods, justifying premium valuations.

The approach particularly benefits from economic expansion periods when investor optimism and risk appetite favor companies demonstrating rapid growth. During such environments, growth stocks often outperform significantly as investors willingly pay higher multiples for businesses expanding faster than the overall economy.

Compounding and Long-Term Wealth Creation

Growth investing harnesses the power of compounding returns through businesses that consistently reinvest earnings at high rates of return. Companies that can maintain above-average growth rates for extended periods create extraordinary wealth for patient investors, as demonstrated by long-term holdings in companies like Microsoft, Amazon, and Apple.

The strategy proves particularly effective in identifying tomorrow's market leaders before they achieve widespread recognition. Early investors in transformative companies often generate life-changing returns that compensate for the higher risk of individual growth stock failures.

Growth investing also benefits from momentum effects in financial markets, where positive performance tends to attract additional investment, creating self-reinforcing cycles that can persist for years. Professional investors' focus on relative performance often amplifies these trends, as fund managers gravitate toward successful growth stories to avoid underperforming their benchmarks.

The Cyclical Nature of Leadership

Market leadership between value and growth investing styles follows cyclical patterns, with neither approach consistently outperforming over all periods. Since the inception of Russell style indexes in 1979, no single investment style had led the market for four consecutive years until growth's recent unprecedented run beginning in 2017.[3] This historical pattern suggests that prolonged periods of single-style dominance eventually reverse, making diversification across both approaches potentially prudent. As an example of the dramatic swings in leadership, the S&P 500 Growth Total Return Index outperformed the S&P 500 Value Index over the past ten years, returning 15.29% vs 10.04%.[6] This cyclical behavior reflects changing economic conditions, interest rate environments, and investor sentiment that alternately favor different investment styles.

Industry Composition and Valuation Dynamics in Value vs Growth Investing

The cyclical nature of leadership can be better understood by looking at the dramatically different weightings of industries within each style.

Sector Breakdown: Where Value and Growth Concentrate

The Russell 1000 Value and Growth indexes reveal stark contrasts in industry exposure, reflecting each strategy's fundamental characteristics. As of May 2025, the iShares Russell 1000 Value ETF (IWD) shows heavy concentration in Financials (23.58%), Industrials (15.26%), and Health Care (13.17%), with significant representation from Consumer Staples (8.13%) and Energy (7.08%). In contrast, the iShares Russell 1000 Growth ETF (IWF) dominates in Information Technology (46.10%), Consumer Discretionary (14.85%), and Communication Services (12.73%), with smaller allocations to Health Care (7.83%) and Financials (7.65%).

Value vs Growth: Comparative Sector Allocation Table

Sector	Russell 1000 Value	Russell 1000 Growth
Financials	23.58%	7.65%
Information Technology	8.63%	46.10%
Health Care	13.17%	7.83%
Industrials	15.26%	4.85%
Consumer Discretionary	5.75%	14.85%
Communication Services	4.54%	12.73%
Energy	7.08%	0.53%
Utilities	4.80%	0.22%

The Valuation Paradox: Why "Slow" Industries Outperform

At first glance, growth sectors like Technology appear more attractive due to their rapid innovation and revenue expansion. However, value's historical outperformance stems from three critical factors rooted in valuation fundamentals:

1. **Margin of Safety Principle**: Financials and Energy stocks in value indexes often trade at significant discounts to book value (P/B ratio of 2.52 for IWD vs 11.50 for IWF). This creates asymmetric return potential when market perceptions eventually align with fundamentals.
2. **Cyclical Reversion**: Industrial and Material sectors benefit from mean reversion in economic cycles. Their depressed valuations during downturns (P/E of 19.44 for Value vs 32.72 for Growth) position them for strong rebounds when macro conditions improve.
3. **Cash Flow Maturity**: Value sectors like Utilities and Consumer Staples generate stable cash flows that markets frequently undervalue during growth-dominated periods. Their 4.80% and 8.13% allocations in Value indexes respectively provide ballast during market stress while offering dividend yields growth sectors typically lack.

The Price Anchoring Effect

Benjamin Graham's central insight—"Price is what you pay, value is what you get"—explains why seemingly stagnant industries outperform. When investors pay 32x earnings for growth stocks vs 19x for value, they implicitly assume:

- Perfect execution of growth projections
- No competitive erosion
- Infinite market expansion

  These assumptions frequently prove unrealistic. The Technology sector's 46% weight in Growth indexes creates concentration risk, as seen when the Russell 1000 Growth fell -29.26% in 2022 vs Value's -

7.72%. Value sectors' lower starting valuations provide room for multiple expansion even with modest earnings growth.

Behavioral Catalysts in Value Outperformance

1. **Expectation Reset**: Financial institutions trading below book value benefit from cyclical improvements in interest margins and loan growth that exceed depressed market expectations.
2. **Capital Discipline**: Energy companies in Value indexes, comprising 7.08% of allocations, demonstrated post-2020 capital restraint—reinvesting only 40% of cash flows vs 90% pre-2014. This discipline turned perceived "value traps" into cash flow powerhouses.
3. **Innovation Diffusion**: Mature Health Care firms in Value indexes (13.17% weight) often commercialize biotech innovations developed by growth-phase companies, capturing downstream value at lower risk.

The Growth Counterargument: Why Premiums Persist

Growth's sector concentration reflects valid economic forces:

- **Technology Adoption Curves**: Cloud computing and AI are still in early innings (20-30% enterprise penetration), justifying some premium for market leaders
- **Scalable Business Models**: Software firms can achieve 60-80% gross margins vs 30-40% for traditional industrials, supporting higher valuations
- **Network Effects**: Digital platforms in Growth indexes benefit from winner-take-most dynamics that traditional valuation metrics undercount

  Strategic Implications for Investors

  The sector divide underscores why style diversification matters:

- **Value** sectors provide valuation anchors and downside protection
- **Growth** sectors capture technological transformation and scalability

- **Cyclical Balance**: Financials/Energy tend to lead early-cycle rebounds, while Technology drives late-cycle expansion

Investors chasing growth sectors must recognize they're paying for perfection—the median tech stock now prices in 15% annual growth for a decade, leaving minimal room for error. Value sectors, while less exciting, offer margin of safety through their discounted entry points and cash flow visibility. The Russell 1000 Value's 0.89 beta vs S&P 500 demonstrates this defensive characteristic in practice.

The Balanced Approach: Why Investors Need Both for Portfolio Diversification and Risk Management

The cyclical nature of value and growth performance makes a compelling case for maintaining exposure to both investment styles. Diversification across both approaches reduces portfolio volatility while ensuring participation in whichever style leads markets during different economic cycles. Historical data demonstrates that neither approach consistently outperforms, making style diversification a prudent risk management strategy.

Balanced portfolios benefit from the different risk and return characteristics of value and growth stocks. Value stocks provide stability and downside protection during market stress, while growth stocks offer upside participation during economic expansion and technological advancement. This combination creates more consistent returns across various market environments than concentrating in either single approach.

Behavioral considerations also support balanced approaches, as they prevent investors from making emotionally driven style rotations at precisely the wrong times. Many investors chase performance by switching to whichever style has recently outperformed, often buying high and selling low. Maintaining consistent exposure to both styles eliminates this timing risk while ensuring participation in both approaches' long-term benefits.

Capturing Different Market Opportunities

Value and growth investing excel in different market environments and economic conditions. Value stocks typically outperform during economic recoveries, periods of rising interest rates, and times when markets favor companies with strong current earnings and dividends. Growth stocks often lead during technological innovation cycles, economic expansions, and periods of low interest rates when investors prioritize future potential over current income.

Industry and sector rotation also favors different investment styles at various times. Traditional industries like banking, energy, and utilities often exhibit value characteristics, while technology, healthcare innovation, and emerging sectors typically display growth attributes. Balanced approaches ensure exposure to opportunities across the entire economic spectrum.

The global economy's increasing complexity requires investment approaches that can capture value creation across diverse business models and economic structures. Some companies create value through operational efficiency and capital return programs, while others generate wealth through innovation and market expansion. Balanced investing recognizes that both paths contribute to long-term wealth creation.

Two Approaches to Capture Value and Growth Returns

There are two different approaches to investing in value and growth stocks. The first approach is to own an index fund. Total stock market index funds, such as the Vanguard Total Stock Market ETF (VTI), provide natural exposure to both value and growth characteristics across the entire market spectrum.[8] These funds diversify across large-, mid-, and small-cap equity positions encompassing both growth and value styles, eliminating the need for individual investors to make complex style allocation decisions.

The VTI fund, with 3,608 holdings and an expense ratio of just 0.03%, offers comprehensive market exposure while maintaining extremely low costs.[8] This broad diversification ensures participation in the performance of both value and growth stocks according to their market capitalizations, providing automatic rebalancing as different styles gain or lose market favor.

Index funds solve the timing problem that challenges many investors attempting to rotate between value and growth strategies. Rather than trying to predict which style will outperform next, broad market index funds maintain consistent exposure to both approaches, capturing long-term market returns without the risk of poor timing decisions.

Broad market index funds also provide behavioral benefits by reducing the temptation to make frequent style rotations based on recent performance. The "set it and forget it" approach eliminates many behavioral biases that harm investor returns, including overconfidence, recency bias, and the tendency to chase performance.[9] This passive approach often generates superior risk-adjusted returns compared to more active strategies.

Additionally, index funds ensure that investor portfolios automatically adapt to changing market conditions and style preferences without requiring active management decisions. As growth companies mature and potentially transition toward value characteristics, or as value companies successfully execute turnaround strategies, index funds naturally adjust their exposure to reflect these changes.

Investors who prefer more control over their style exposures may benefit from separate value and growth allocations rather than relying solely on broad market index funds. This approach allows for customized weightings based on individual preferences, risk tolerance, and market views, potentially enhancing returns for skilled investors who can successfully time style rotations.

Separate allocations enable investors to overweight whichever style appears more attractive based on relative valuations, economic conditions, or personal conviction. During periods when value stocks appear particularly cheap relative to growth stocks, investors can increase value allocations to potentially enhance returns when value leadership eventually returns.

Advanced investors may also prefer the transparency and control that separate style allocations provide. Understanding exactly how much portfolio exposure exists in each style enables more precise risk management and strategic asset allocation decisions than broad market funds allow.

Using this approach, the investor would own an appropriate mix of funds and ETFs that provide the investor with a balanced exposure to each style. This mix could include a combination of active and passive funds and ETFs. One risk of this approach is that active managers, who attempt to outperform the market through security selection, may instead underperform. Another risk of this approach is “performance chasing”, where the investor naturally sells his losers and adds to the funds that are performing well, which upsets the balance of investments in the portfolio. However, if the strategy is executed well and appropriate balance is maintained, the strategy offers the *potential* of outperforming if superior managers are selected.

Sources

[1] "Value Investing Definition, How It Works, Strategies, Risks," Investopedia, May 9, 2025.

[2] "Growth Investing: Overview of the Investing Strategy," Investopedia, June 4, 2021.

[3] "Perspective On Growth Vs. Value Styles," Russell Investments, July 15, 2021.

[4] "How Does the Fama French 3 Factor Model Work?" SmartAsset, May 12, 2021.

[5] "S&P 500 Growth Total Return," YCharts, May 27, 2025.

[6] "S&P 500 Value Total Return," YCharts, May 29, 2025.

[7] "The Drivers of Booms and Busts in the Value Premium," Alpha Architect, April 24, 2023.

[8] "VTI Total Stock Market ETF," Vanguard Advisors, March 28, 2025.

[9] "5 Behavioral Biases That Can Impact Your Investing Decisions," William & Mary Online, November 15, 2024.

[10] "Value investing," Wikipedia, September 23, 2004.

[11] "Growth investing," Wikipedia, December 2, 2005.

[12] "Annualized Return difference between Russell 1000 Value and Growth," GuruFocus, May 23, 2025.

[13] "Fama-French Monthly HML Benchmark Return," YCharts, February 15, 2025.

[14] "When It's Value vs. Growth, History Is on Value's Side," Dimensional Fund Advisors, September 26, 2023.

[15] "Mind Over Money: How Behavioral Finance Shapes Investment Decisions," Forbes, May 2, 2024.

[16] "What Is Value Investing?" NerdWallet, April 11, 2025.

[17] "Russell Growth and Value Indexes: the enduring utility of style," LSEG.

[18] "Value Investing Guide: Definition, Tutorial and Examples," Wall Street Prep, February 14, 2025.

[19] "Definition | How Does Value Investing Work?" Groww, April 25, 2022.

[20] "Strategies of Legendary Value Investors," Investopedia, September 6, 2024.

Disclosures:

Investment Insights.com is a publisher of financial education content and is not a registered investment advisor, broker-dealer, or financial planner. The information provided on this website is for general informational and educational purposes only and does not constitute investment advice, a recommendation, or a solicitation to buy or sell any security

At times, vast amounts of data and academic research are analyzed using AI tools. Drafting and research of content in our reports are also conducted with the assistance of AI tools. AI-powered writing assistants aided in improving the clarity, grammar, and overall readability of the text. All information presented has undergone rigorous human review, and editorial refinement. InvestmentInsights is responsible for final editorial oversight. Although we are committed to providing accurate, reliable, and unbiased information to help you make informed investment decisions and sources are believed to be reliable, accuracy and completeness are not guaranteed.

Model portfolios, mutual fund reviews, and ETF analyses reflect the views of the author at the time of publication. They are not tailored to any individual's financial situation, investment objectives, or risk tolerance. This content is illustrative in nature and should not be relied upon as a basis for making investment decisions.

Investing involves risk, including the potential loss of principal. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Investment returns will fluctuate, and investors may receive more or less than their original investment upon redemption.

ETF shares are bought and sold at market price (not NAV) and may trade at a premium or discount. Brokerage commissions and trading costs may apply. Diversification does not guarantee a profit or prevent loss.

Before investing, investors should carefully review the fund's prospectus, which includes information about investment objectives, risks, charges, and expenses. Prospectuses are available from the fund provider's website and should be read carefully before investing.

All information is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind. Although sources are believed to be reliable, accuracy and completeness are not guaranteed. Investment Insights.com does not offer personalized advice or establish a fiduciary relationship. Always consult a licensed financial professional before making investment decisions.

This content is intended for U.S. residents and may not comply with legal requirements of jurisdictions outside the United States.

This content is intended for U.S. residents and may not comply with legal requirements of jurisdictions outside the United States.

For questions about this site or to report concerns, please contact us directly.